

To the Airport Commission Clerk,

Given Mr Cohen's refusal to provide information related to the changes on the website for Airport Access or Provide answers to questions by Non-Tenant Pilots and provide information about exchanges with the FAA on this issue, we are requesting the Airport Commission obtain this information from Mr Cohen so the public can be informed about the status of these Access Issues and the FAA's intervention into the violations of the Grant Assurances.

Throughout the last 8 months Mr Cohen demonstrated a propensity to violate pilot rights, mislead the airport commission and the FAA as well as the public - as such the lack of transparency and forthwith responses to these simple requests are concerning. The progress that has been made has been in part through the complaints with the FAA where they informed Mr Cohen that his policies were not line with the grant assurances. Mr Cohen has not been forthwith in providing this information. Simply put Mr Cohen cannot be trusted and it is necessary to assert that his actions require greater supervision and transparency to the Airport Commission and General Public to whom he serves as a public servant. Simply put the City of Petaluma has a responsibility to share all communications with regulators to the general public and ensure there is transparency in the services and actions it responsible for managing. Mr Cohen and the City of Petaluma knows it made a serious error in judgement in handling this matter and have an obligation to be forthwith admitting as much and being responsive to resolve the issues.

Not only has a meeting video gone missing of a previous meeting that Mr Cohen was directly involved in recording but his claims that he 'can't answer there questions appear to be intentionally preventing the public from hearing about the information conveyed by the FAA to Mr Cohen to comply with elements of the Grant Assurances.

We request that at the very least Mr Cohen be directed by the City as sort by the public and airport commission.

1. November Airport Commission Meeting wasn't able to stream live, but that the video was recording and should have been posted. Where is it and when will it be posted, or has it been totally lost?
2. There were changes to the Temporary Access Request Form. According to the FAA ADO, they did point out the two day limitation was an issue. Has the two day limitation has now been removed and replaced as follows;
 1. Requested Date of Access is granted for two consecutive days - 48 hours.
 2. There are no limits on the number of requests.
 3. There are no limits to the number of access periods - i.e the user can keep requesting as needed.
 4. There are no limits on the number on the consecutive periods - i.e the user may make multiple requests to cover an extended period such as 4 requests covering 8 days etc
3. Is Item 2 accurate? if not can you clarify the changes?
4. Would it be possible to state any limitations if there are any?
5. Add language that the period that will be issued - The Requestor will be issued a 2-day pass starting on the Requested Date at 00:00 and ending the following day at the 23:59:59. (48 hours)

We have been advised by the FAA that you provided the documentation and proposals to address their concerns. Kindly furnish a copy of that documentation to the General Public and the airport commission to review?

The City Risk assessment office was considering the 90 day trial period for long term access - have they made a decision on this and what was the outcome - if they have not made a decision, can you ask them to provide a date when they will make a decision given more than 3 months have elapsed.

Thank You

Concerned Citizen Mr Milne