Framework Review Form

Date: 4/24/24

Committee: Climate Action Commission

Committee Member Name: Ann Edminster

Framework Title (please complete one form per Framework): Transportation

---

In light of **the Vision, Pillars, and Guiding Principles** prepared by GPA and accepted by City Council (https://www.planpetaluma.org/documents#gpuvision):

What are the <u>most important</u> policies in this framework? We recommend identifying the top 5 (or fewer) policies and ranking them in order of importance.

Policy	Explanation
TP-1.1: Develop a reliable and connected transportation network that fulfills the City's Vision	This should obviously be #1 because it's overarching; i.e., if you succeed, it means all the other policies also have been successfully implemented.
TP-6.4: Deliver Projects Efficiently	If we don't do this, we accomplish less. 'Nuf said.
TP-1.2: Develop Green Street Standards	This also is foundational; if we don't have appropriate street standards, staff won't be able to execute consistent with the City's goals.
TP-5.1: Integrate Development and Transportation Policies **and** Policy TP-5.2: Align Land Use and Building Codes with Modal Priorities	These two are really Siamese twins and should be merged. They are crucial to ensuring that policies in different buckets are appropriately coordinated.
TP-3.3: Connect East and West Petaluma	This addresses a fundamental challenge in getting people to navigate routes across the City in modes other than private automobiles. It likewise addresses a fundamental social/cultural divide as well as having equity implications.

What <u>concerns</u> do you have about the policies in this framework? For example, are they unclear? Should they be softened or strengthened? Do you disagree with the policy direction?

Policy	Explanation
TP-2.1: Enable Low-Carbon Travel **and** TP-2.2: Fulfill Blueprint for Carbon Neutrality **and** TP-2.3: Use Climate-Focused Development Review	This entire document is arguably fulfilling the Blueprint. Further, these aren't really standalone policies. Weave together with TP-1.1.
TP-3.1: Adopt an Inclusive Mobility Framework **and** TP-3.2: Establish Seamless Multimodal Connections **and** TP-3.4: Create a Low-Stress Walking and Bicycling Network **and** TP-3.5: Invest in Public Transit **and** TP-4.1: Apply the Safe Systems Approach **and** TP-4.2: Prioritize Roadway Safety for Vulnerable Users **and** TP-4.3: Prioritize Safe Intermodal Network Connections **and** TP-4.4: Provide Multimodal Evacuation Routes	These also should be woven into TP-1.1. However, I wonder if this is the right level of detail for this document, or if some of the content would be more appropriate in an operations manual.
TP-5.3: Encourage Low Carbon Travel Through Transportation Demand Management **and** TP-5.4: Align Parking Policies with Climate Goals	Aren't these things already happening?
TP-6.1: Ensure Mobility Priorities are Implemented **and** TP-6.2: Employ Data-Driven Mobility Planning **and** TP-6.3:	I wonder if we're not overburdening the General Plan with practices that should be

| Engage Residents in the Transportation Planning Process *and* TP-6.5: Consider Creative Funding Strategies | operational standards; is this the right place for this type of direction to staff? |