

From: [REDACTED]
To: -- City Clerk
Subject: Agenda Item #9 Annexation of 5400 Old Redwood Hwy.
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 1:52:08 PM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

---Warning: Use caution before clicking any attachments. THIS EMAIL IS FROM OUTSIDE OUR EMAIL SYSTEM.---

The amount of fill of 11,400 cu/yd that has been illegally deposited on this site amounts to approximately 7 acre/ft and drainage fees should be applied accordingly.

Thank you, Bob Martin [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
To: [-- City Council](#)
Subject: 5400 Redwood Hwy - Followup Notes from PC
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 5:27:07 PM

---Warning: Use caution before clicking any attachments. THIS EMAIL IS FROM OUTSIDE OUR EMAIL SYSTEM.---

Mayor and City Council members,

Reaching out regarding the 5400 Redwood Hwy project that is on the agenda for potential rezoning prior to annexation proceedings. This project puts our "Zero Net Fill" policy to the test.

Of course flooding was a concern going into the PC meeting where we reviewed this item, but when we arrived at the meeting we received a copy of the 2015 memo signed by council-member Healy rejecting annexation overtures made at that time. This memo became a center of PC discussion. We were guided by staff that the current 2024 application for annexation differed in character to the 2015 attempt, and therefore we did not necessarily have to remain consistent with the determination from 2015, which was to reject annexation due to the 11,400 yards of fill.

PC asked in our approval vote that it be passed on to council to determine whether or not this new zoning and eventual annexation attempt differs appreciably compared to the 2015 effort that was rejected. As additional context, you all know that we are preparing to expand our "Zero Net Fill" policy in the GP 2045 frameworks from only parcels North of the weir to many more parcels within the floodplain. We have to take care to enforce existing and future "Zero Net Fill" regulations consistently. As I reread the 2015 council memo, it's hard to see how one can deviate from the seemingly consistent determination council has repeated since 2002 that the fill must be removed "before annexation or the provision of water or sewer service" for this parcel.

I have not fully reviewed the 5/29/24 report, but I did read it's conclusion. It will be interesting to see where this lands and I look forward to the discussion.

--

Sincerely,

Darren R.

From: [REDACTED]
To: -- City Clerk
Subject: NO on Agenda item #9
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 5:09:46 PM

[Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

---Warning: Use caution before clicking any attachments. THIS EMAIL IS FROM OUTSIDE OUR EMAIL SYSTEM.---

Not in the flood plain please, and thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan & Ted Herman
[REDACTED]