
From: Wendy Denny <wendymd4@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 4:44 PM

To: Richard Marzo <Richard@lacehouselinen.com>; Scott Alonso <alonsoplanningpet@gmail.com>; Heidi Bauer <heidibauer2000@gmail.com>; Sandi Potter <sandi.lee.potter@gmail.com>; Blake Hooper <bmhooper1@gmail.com>; Barrett, Teresa <tbarrett@cityofpetaluma.org>; Fischer, D'Lynda <dfischer@cityofpetaluma.org>; Healy, Mike <mhealy@cityofpetaluma.org>; McDonnell, Kevin <kmcdonnell@cityofpetaluma.org>; King, Dave <dking@cityofpetaluma.org>; b.barnacle.gsw@gmail.com <b.barnacle.gsw@gmail.com>; Dennis Pocekay <dpocekay@gmail.com>; -- City Clerk <CityClerk@cityofpetaluma.org>; Flynn, Peggy <PFlynn@cityofpetaluma.org>; Hines, Heather <hhines@cityofpetaluma.org>; Bendix, Brittany <bbendix@cityofpetaluma.org>

Subject: Hire Campanelli to write stronger Wireless Ordinances

---Warning: Use caution before clicking any attachments. THIS EMAIL IS FROM OUTSIDE OUR EMAIL SYSTEM.---

Dear City of Petaluma Officials and Staff:

I completely agree with the following letter and am asking the City to hire Andrew Campanelli immediately.

Sincerely, Wendy Denny:

Citizens are extremely grateful to City Staff's work and their recommendation to deny the Verizon-Creamery WTF Application, leading to Verizon's withdrawal. Thank you.

We would like to leverage this success to strengthen Petaluma's wireless ordinance in order to secure maximum public safety, reduce legal risk, and maximize cost efficiency for our City moving forward.

Citizens request the City immediately act to hire the "People's Attorney," Andrew Campanelli, to strengthen Petaluma's Wireless Ordinance per evolving technology.

[Andrew Campanelli](#) is the #1 US litigation attorney with a track record of defending Municipalities' and Citizens' rights regarding Telecommunications.

For approx. \$10K, Andrew Campanelli will provide Petaluma with a Wireless Ordinance that is:

1. **Lawsuit-Strong:**
 - a. Thorough review of our current ordinances to identify all potential lawsuit risks
 - b. Write new "bullet proof" Wireless Ordinance per relevant law for Petaluma: Federal-DC-Circuit-State-City
2. **Safety-Service Balanced:**
 - a. Require Application to provide proper data evidence proving service coverage gap exists (example: Call Logs and Drive Test)
 - b. Strict adherence to **only** FCC-relevant service: call "coverage" **not** broadband "capacity"
 - c. Clarity of specifications: Major vs. Minor WTF antennae, frequency bands, power, etc.
 - d. Direct City assessment of all current WTF inventory in area; plan for future
 - e. Institute Carrier-funded WTF power-level measurement and management program
3. **Money Saving:**

- a. Save substantial cost by requiring Applicant provide data evidence at their expense (example: \$15K Drive Test City just paid by taxpayers for Creamery Drive Test)
 - b. Save staff time cost by specifying definitions and thresholds in Application
4. **Revenue Generating:**
- a. Identify lease-revenue opportunities for WTFs on City-owned land
 - b. Require Carriers to fund power-level measurement and management program
 - c. Fines in the case of violations

Citizens respectfully request the City prioritize hiring Andrew Campanelli to rewrite our Wireless Ordinance in advance of any next WTF Application submission. Unlike the many Telecom-aligned consultants that are known for misinforming Cities out of their rights, such as Telecom Law Firm (see Malibu, Monterey, Coronado, etc.), Mr Campanelli has a proven track record for protecting Citizens.

Citizens will continue to follow up with City staff and officials to help in any way we can to facilitate meeting this urgent, important need for Petaluma.

Regards,
Scott Springhorn

From: ~lufros~ <peregrinelofroos@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 21, 2021 7:51 PM
To: Bendix, Brittany <bbendix@cityofpetaluma.org>
Subject: PLMA 20 0003/PLUP 19 0004

---Warning: Use caution before clicking any attachments. THIS EMAIL IS FROM OUTSIDE OUR EMAIL SYSTEM.---

I am opposed to the proposed Verizon telecommunications facility/project atop the Petaluma Creamery. It's not clear to me the reason to put these items here, especially atop an historical building. It's unclear to me the need for the facility.

If the applicant is allowed to put this atop 611 Western, I want to be sure that the facility is never allowed nor upgraded to facilitate 5G/Internet of things (IoT) radiation microwave bands.

Kit Lofroos, MA | LMT_{NM} 2061

www.lofroos.org

(707) 931.8212

From: Scott Springhorn <sspringhorn@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, January 21, 2021 7:33 AM

To: Bendix, Brittany <bbendix@cityofpetaluma.org>; Rose, Kendall <krrose@cityofpetaluma.org>; -- City Clerk <CityClerk@cityofpetaluma.org>

Subject: Please add this link/documents to the Verizon WTF - Petaluma Creamery Public File

---Warning: Use caution before clicking any attachments. THIS EMAIL IS FROM OUTSIDE OUR EMAIL SYSTEM.---

Ms. Rose & Brittany,

Please add the following link with corresponding documents to the public record/file for the proposed Verizon WTF on the Petaluma Creamery.

[Petaluma Creamery Violations, Fines, & Safety Information](#)

Thank you very much.

Scott Springhorn